

1 Bradley S. Slighting, Esq.
2 Nevada Bar No. 10225
3 SLIGHTING LAW
4 1707 Village Center Cir., #100
5 Las Vegas, NV 89134
6 Telephone: (702) 232-2543
7 Email: brad@slightinglaw.com

5 *Attorney for Defendant*
6 *Leading Technology Development, LLC*

7
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 GEORGE MYOTT, an individual,

Case No.: 2:24-CV-00057-JCM-NJK

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 LEADING TECHNOLOGY
12 DEVELOPMENT, LLC; DOES I through X;
13 and ROE CORPORATIONS XI through XX,
14 inclusive,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
COMPLAINT**

[FIRST REQUEST]

17 Defendant Leading Technology Development ("LTD"), by and through its attorney,
18 Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. of the law firm Slighting Law, and Plaintiff George Myott
19 ("Myott"), by and through his attorney, Steven H. Burke, Esq. of the Law Office of Steven H.
20 Burke, LLC, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for LTD to file a response to
21 Myott's Complaint from January 16, 2024 to **January 19, 2024** to accommodate Defendant's
22 counsel's scheduling conflicts which required additional time to file such a response. This is
23 the first stipulation to extend the deadline to respond to Myott's Complaint. The Parties hereby
24 specifically agree and stipulate as follows:
25

1 WHEREAS, on October 25, 2023, Myott filed his Complaint against LTD in the
2 Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada.

3 WHEREAS, on December 8, 2023, Myott served a Summons and the Complaint on
4 LTD through its registered agent.

5 WHEREAS, on January 6, 2024, LTD filed its Notice of Removal to this Court.

6 WHEREAS, LTD's response to Myott's Complaint was due on January 16, 2024.

7 WHEREAS, on January 16, 2024, counsel for the Parties met and conferred via
8 telephone and agreed to allow LTD an additional three (3) days to file a response to Myott's
9 Complaint to accommodate Defendant's counsel's scheduling conflicts which required
10 additional time to file such a response, thereby extending the deadline for a response from
11 January 16, 2024 to January 19, 2024.

12 WHEREAS, the Parties submitted their Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to
13 Plaintiff's Complaint prior to the passing of the deadline for Defendant to respond to
14 Plaintiff's Complaint.

15 WHEREAS, the Parties' Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Plaintiff's
16 Complaint was rejected by the Court on January 17, 2024 because it did not state the reason
17 for the extension.

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

1 THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate and agree to extend the deadline for LTD to file a
2 response to Myott's Complaint from January 16, 2024 to January 19, 2024 to accommodate
3 Defendant's counsel's scheduling conflicts which required additional time to file such a
4 response and respectfully request that the Court approve and order the same.
5

6 IT IS SO STIPULATED this 17th day of January 2024.

7 SLIGHTING LAW

LAW OFFICE OF STEVEN H. BURKE,
8 LLC

9 /s/ Bradley S. Slighting

10 Bradley S. Slighting, Esq. (NBN 10225)
11 1707 Village Center Cir., Suite 100
Las Vegas, NV 89134

12 Attorney for Leading Technology
13 Development, LLC

/s/ Steven H. Burke

14 Steven H. Burke, Esq. (NBN 14037)
9205 W. Russell Rd., Suite 240
15 Las Vegas, NV 89148

16 Attorney for George Myott

17 IT IS SO ORDERED:

18 
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19 DATED: January 18, 2024